Constitution of the second

MENORLYMAN FOR: Applicant to the Director

TEXXXXI:

Amery Assistant Director for Open

EUBITETT:

Inoutry by Mijor Reguld E. Payboo

1. Apparently Fajor Rephoe is paraisting in his effort to find ont if a CIA egent told vitoeses of unidentified flying objects not to make public information about their alghings. As techground the following is a quotation from his 13 varen 1953 association to the DII:

No also should like to know whether it is true that in one or nore cases agents of the CIA bery told UFO vitassees not to make public information in regard to their eightings:

"de have one report to the effect that a witness was interregated recently about a eighting which involved a photograph and that he was percepturily told not to make this matter public ___ or reveal the fact that he had been interrogated by CIA representatives. If this is not true we should like to know, so that ve can access the value of any phillar reports. If it is trueand the vitages has said he can ruce the two CIA secute involved - then we should like to know on what outhersty he was ordered to been silent. Since the Air Force states there is no security involved and I have personally been assured by Air Force officers end by high-racking ferral Academy classifies of my own that I was in no way doing a dissarried to the country by my can revolations. I am parionaly concerned - am is our Board - with this apparent cerearchin.—If it does exist, we should like to how moder what less, and by what authority, it has been established, and also the reasons for requesting vitageous to keep alleut."

The 13 kinch represents was referred to the AD/O by the AD/SI for execute a copy of our reply is attached.

2. In addition, 100 a co/co statt exployee easigned



1. Apparently Pajor Poyhoe is paraieting in his effort to find out if a CIA epent told vitnouses of unidentified flying objects not to make public information about their sightings. 'As background the following is a quotation from his 13 Ferch 1953 nescreptus to the DII:

soo at tatt cort at it redictly word of this class core one or or consecutive of the CIA bard and to the consecutive of the citation of the consecutive of the consec

We have one report to the effect that a vitacea was interregated recently about a sightling which involved a photograph and that he was percepturily told not to eake this matter public or reveal the fact that he had been laterrogated by CIA represectatives. If this is not true we should like to know, so that -eurs at it is retroger ratical year to enter ods esesse can ev and the vitness has said by can nece the two CIA exerts involved - then we should like to know on what sutherity he was ordered to born silent. Since the Air Force states there is no security. involved and I have prescrally been secured by Air Force officers and by high-reaking Faral Academy classifies of my ova that I was in no way doing a dissarried to the country by my can revelations; I am pariously concerned - as is our Doord - with this apparent censorahip. If it does exist, we should like to how_ moin what less, and by what authority, it has been established, end also the resous for requesting vitingence to keep alleut."

The 13 kinch reconsides was referred to the AD/O by the AD/SI for correctly a copy of our reply is attached.

2. In addition, 710 a CD/00 staff exployee assigned to (no 12 December 1957 by contrated)



List 24 June 75

to return five photographs of alleged UPO's which were cotalised, 7 lorender 1957, by a CD/OD employer. Thile were returning the photos requirement that an intelligence organization had viewed the pictures and thought they were of interest. Traply was negative. Then eaked if he could write a latter to the DCI esting his evaluation of the photos and ask his permission to present the resulting material on TV. Traplied that would have to calle his own decision about writing such a letter. This couploied the interview.

- to prove a CIA speet told a UFO vitness
- not to rate his information public.

5. Two persibilities for ruply magest themselves: (1)

(2) explain to Explose that we do not containly provide the kind of information he wants in the obsence of a reason for the inquiry and set him why he wants to know. This might seems out a reply leading back to his contention that a CIA agent told a UTO witness not to make public his information, and further that he has reason to believe year the agent. Chould this occur and have could then be referred to Mr. Edward accordant to his on 17 April 1998 which explained that Agency records had been checked and revealed that no CIA exployes at any time had priored any UEO witness to receive allest about his observation.



6. We recommend that the second alternative above be tried first and base future action on Revisco's reply. A suggested draft reply is attached.

Chief, Cootect Division office of Operations

iecrusolced batei3 ea		
co: DAD/O_		
Distribution: Original - Add	728900	
1 - 046		



Kajor Domid E. Kajhao, DRU (201) Mrcetor of BICAP 1535 Connecticus Avenus, E. V. Wichington 6, P. C.

Done Pajor Bydou

Think you for your letter of James 12th.

sa you can readily understand so do not contailly pass, extends of efficial character, either efficienties or regards information in response to an inquiry such to yours.—Reald it be possible for you to tell us why you require the information, so that we say here a bacis for an appropriate roply.

Binceroly,

J. S. Evenin Receive Officer

C2 (22 Jen 99)